REGULAR MEETING OF THE
FLAGSTAFF DOWNTOWN BUSINESS IMPROVEMENT
AND REVITALIZATION DISTRICT

Tuesday, May 20, 2014 – 10:00 AM
Staff Conference Room – Second Floor
Flagstaff City Hall – 211 West Aspen, Flagstaff, Arizona

1. Call to Order
2. Roll Call
3. Approval of Minutes:
   A. April 9, 2014, Regular Meeting
   B. April 29, 2014, Work Session
   C. May 6, 2014, Regular Meeting
6. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

Public Participation enables the public to address the Board about an item that is not on the agenda. Comments relating to items that are on the agenda will be taken at the time that the item is discussed.

7. Adjournment

CERTIFICATE OF POSTING OF NOTICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of the foregoing notice was duly posted at Flagstaff City Hall on ____________, at ________ a.m./p.m. in accordance with the statement filed by the Board with the District Clerk.

Dated this _____ day of ______________, 2014.

__________________________________________________
Elizabeth A. Burke, MMC, District Clerk
MINUTES

1. Call to Order

Chairman VanLandingham called the meeting of the Flagstaff Downtown Business Improvement and Revitalization District of April 9, 2014, to order at 2:02 p.m.

2. Roll Call

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Board Members Present</th>
<th>Board Members Absent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>John VanLandingham, Chairman</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antoinette Beiser</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Stilley</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Others present: Dana Kjellgren, District Attorney; Barbara Goodrich, District Finance Director; Elizabeth A. Burke, District Clerk; Public Members Mark Lamberson; Maury Herman

3. Approval of minutes of the March 4, 2014, meeting.

Minutes of the March 4, 2014, District Board meeting were approved by unanimous consent.


Member Stilley moved to adopt Resolution No. 2014-01; seconded; passed unanimously.

(Further action taken at the end of Item 6)

5. Discussion of Future Assessment Election.

Ms. Kjellgren stated that the District needs to complete the tax election in time to provide results to the Assessor’s Office by August 1, 2014. They had discussed holding the election on June 4, as that was the earliest they could accomplish the election, but before most people were out of town for the summer.
She said that it would be a polling place election, but if voters were not going to be in
town they could request an early ballot. Ms. Burke added that the Council Conference
Room on the first floor of City hall had been reserved for the election, to be held from
9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m.

Ms. Kjellgren reviewed the process of identifying eligible voters, which would include
residents within the District that were registered voters as well as property owners. Those
property owners that were a corporation, LLC, partnership, etc. would have to
provide documentation ahead of time to the District Clerk to identify their eligibility to
vote as their representative.

Brief discussion was held on the ability of District members to solicit support for the
election. Ms Kjellgren explained that they were permitted to do so as long as District
resources were not used.

Discussion was held on the need for the District to adopt a budget prior to the election.
It was noted that Ms. Goodrich and Chairman VanLandingham would be meeting to
discuss the budget and bring it back on May 6, 2014.

Mr. Herman said that one of the difficulties in developing a budget is the inability of two
board members to discuss anything outside of a meeting. He asked how soon they
could consider expanding the size of the Board. Ms. Kjellgren explained that under
State Statute the initial board is required to be three members; however, it would
appear that after the first year the membership may be expanded.

Brief discussion was held on the time of the budget meetings. Ms. Goodrich said that
she would be glad to meeting prior to the next regular meeting to allow more time for
public comment and discussion by the Board of the budget before final action had to be
taken at the May 6, 2014, meeting. It was agreed that a Work Session would be held on
Tuesday, April 29, 2014, at 10:00 a.m. to review the proposed budget.

6. Consideration of Intergovernmental Agreement between the City of Flagstaff and the
Flagstaff Downtown Business Improvement and Revitalization District.

Chairman VanLandingham said that he and Mr. Eberhard had gone through the IGA
and amended some of the deadlines, making them “so many days after the election,”
since the tax election would not be happening as early as hoped. The draft IGA will
have to go before Council again and Mr. Eberhard said that he has that scheduled for
May 6, 2014. Mr. Eberhard said that he sent the amended IGA back out to all
concerned and has received no comments. Board members agreed to hold off and
reconsider the IGA until after Council approved it and the election was held. The District
Clerk noted that once it went to Council substantial changes could not be made unless
it went back to them again.

At this time the Board returned to Item 4, Resolution 2014-01, to consider amendments
to the Rules of Procedure to allow for a different meeting time for regular meetings.

Member Stilley moved to reconsider this item; seconded; passed unanimously.
Member Stilley moved to adopt Resolution No. 2014-01, amending Section 3.01 of Exhibit A to read, “The District Board shall hold regular meetings on the first Tuesday of every month at 10:00 a.m. ...;” seconded; passed unanimously.

7. Legislative Update.

None


Ms. Goodrich noted that the District would require a federal and state ID number. It was agreed that she and Chairman VanLandingham would work on that issue. It was also noted that at the next meeting they would need a resolution authorizing signers for an account.

Brief discussion was held on the software program to use for the books; it was agreed that they would move forward using Quick Books.

Ms. Kjellgren said that she did not believe that the selection of a bank would require the public procurement process. Ms. Goodrich noted that if it did, she would suggest that they consider going through the State contract, since nearly every bank was available.

9. Discussion of relationship between the District and a non-profit.

Chairman VanLandingham said that this was an issue he had discussed previously with Nancy Horman who has been a consultant and executive in many districts, including the Downtown Tempe District. He said that there are some working examples of other improvement districts that have legal structures similar to the District working with a non-profit.

Ms. Kjellgren said that she took a look at several documents online related to the Downtown Phoenix Partnership; although the business improvement districts are different than a revitalization district in that they are formed by the City, but do not have an independent existence. However, she said, she still thinks that they can contract with a 501(c)(3) to provide a full range of services. She said that they may need to go through a public procurement process to select the 501(c)(3). Chairman VanLandingham asked if it mattered if it was a 501(c)(3) or (c)(6). Ms. Kjellgren said that she would recommend obtaining advice from a tax consultant for that answer. Mr. Lamberson said that he would look into that issue further and report back.

10. Future Agenda Items

Future Agenda Items discussed included:

• Various financial issues, including publishing of legal schedules for the budget (Ms. Goodrich will work with Ms. Burke after she and Chairman VanLandingham meet)
11. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

Public Participation enables the public to address the Board about an item that is not on the agenda. Comments relating to items that are on the agenda will be taken at the time that the item is discussed.

None

12. Adjournment

The Regular Meeting of the Flagstaff Downtown Business Improvement and Revitalization District of April 9, 2014, adjourned at 2:46 p.m.

______________________________
John VanLandingham, Chairman of the Board

ATTEST:

______________________________
Elizabeth A. Burke, District Clerk
1. Call to Order

Chairman VanLandingham called the Work Session to order at 10:05 a.m.

2. Roll Call

Present: Chairman John VanLandingham  None
Member Antoinette Beiser
Member David Stilley

Others Present: Barbara Goodrich, District Finance Director; Elizabeth Burke, District Clerk; Mark Lamberson; Maury Herman; Karl Eberhard; Dave Stephens.

3. FY2014-15 District Tentative Budget

Member Stilley asked what Parking Development was. Chairman VanLandingham replied that it had anything they can do that furthers the parking issue, whether renting private lots, striping, etc. He explained that if it is not allocated, it cannot be spent. If it is allocated, it could be reallocated throughout the year by a vote of the Board.

It was noted that the $25,000 listed as miscellaneous in Year Two was for a one-time infrastructure project. To be established as an improvement district they have to do a project. While they are required to do a project, it is not required that it be funded by the District; it can come from outside funds.

It was noted that the Intergovernmental Agreement for $127,000 with the City had strings attached; however, most of them were things being done such as maintenance of the website, listing of City services. Mr. Eberhard said that they tried to make them things being done anyway.

Member Stilley asked who was paying Ms. Kjellgren. Chairman VanLandingham said that she was being paid. Member Stilley and Mr. Herman both offered to help with that funding if necessary.

Ms. Goodrich said that this was the first Revitalization District in the State and they wanted to give everyone a look at the budget. She then reviewed the process, noting that May 6 would be adoption of the Tentative Budget. After that adoption, the legal schedules and notice of public hearing would be published in the newspaper for two
consecutive Fridays, with the public hearing and adoption of the Final Budget scheduled for May 20, 2014.

Ms. Goodrich noted that upon completion of a successful election on June 4, 2014, staff will notify the Assessor’s Office prior to August 1 for payments to be due in November 2014 and May 2015.

Mr. Herman noted that the hiring of a Director will be critical to the District’s success. Mr. VanLandingham explained that they had researched what other similar directors were paid, figuring around $65,000 and based on further advice they increased that by $10,000 due to the high housing costs in Flagstaff. They were also advised to advertise for the position nationally as there are several professional associations with which they could advertise.

Mr. Lamberson noted that he and Chairman VanLandingham have registered for a 1 ½ day conference at the end of May which will be a great networking opportunity and they hope to formally advertise right after the successful election.

General discussion was held on the responsibilities outlined in the IGA and associated costs. In terms of downtown maintenance there was nothing that addressed who was going to pay for what. That is still to be discussed and determined; however, the biggest difference is they will have a Director that can advocate for them.

Ms. Goodrich recommended that they also include $10,000 for relocation funds if they were going to be advertising nationally.

Chairman VanLandingham said that all of them have been successful in business because they take every line item of their respective budgets and get them as low as they can. The reality of this budgeting process is they have to do a legal budget; if they make the amounts too low their hands are tied.

Mr. Herman said that he did not expect to go 28 years with no improvements above $25,000 a year. If they are spending $126,000 of property owner money they need to see real progress in the areas that they have targeted. Chairman VanLandingham agreed that they better be well on their way with projects. If they were not there in Year 5 he would be very disappointed.

Mr. Stephens asked how they saw creating revenues. Member Stilley replied meters. Chairman VanLandingham said that there are all sorts of tools that become possible with having the District. Mr. Eberhard added that there are grant opportunities and they will start looking at transportation grants once they have a Director who is able to devote time to such effort.

4. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

Public Participation enables the public to address the Board about an item that is not on the agenda. Comments relating to items that are on the agenda will be taken at the time that the item is discussed.

None
The Work Session of the Flagstaff Downtown Business Improvement and Revitalization District held April 29, 2014, adjourned at 10:52 a.m.

John VanLandingham, Chairman of the Board

ATTEST:

Elizabeth A. Burke, District Clerk
1. Call to Order

Chairman VanLandingham called the meeting to order at 10:02 a.m.

2. Roll Call

Board Members Present: Board Members Absent:

Chairman John VanLandingham None
Member Antoinette Beiser
Member David Stilley

Others present: District Attorney Dana Kjellgren; District Treasurer Barbara Goodrich; District Clerk Elizabeth Burke; Mark Lamberson; Karl Eberhard (arrived at 10:18 a.m.); Maury Herman.


Ms. Goodrich distributed handouts which included the proposed tentative budget and then reviewed the process from that point on. She explained that once the Tentative Budget was adopted, she would advertise the Legal Schedules (attachments of the Resolution) in the paper for the next two Fridays, along with the Notice of Hearing on the Final Budget Adoption at the May 20, 2014, Meeting.

It was noted that this notice should also be posted on the website and it was fine if they were available at businesses throughout the district.

Member Stilley moved to adopt Resolution No. 2014-03; seconded; passed unanimously.
4. **Consideration of Resolution No. 2014-04:** A RESOLUTION OF THE DISTRICT BOARD OF THE FLAGSTAFF DOWNTOWN BUSINESS IMPROVEMENT AND REVITALIZATION DISTRICT OF FLAGSTAFF AUTHORIZING PROCUREMENT LIMITS, SELECTION OF A BANKING INSTITUTION, SIGNATORIES ON DISTRICT BANK ACCOUNTS, AND APPROVING THE INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT FOR SERVICES WITH THE CITY OF FLAGSTAFF

Ms. Goodrich said that the District is required to follow state procurement limits and she wanted to make sure that the Board was comfortable with the limits included in the resolution. She said that they were arbitrary numbers based on past discussion, but there is a point under State Statutes where they would have to go through a formal procurement process. She then explained the different types of procurement methods.

Chairman VanLandingham said that the resolution required that a bank located within the District be used for their funds. He said that National Bank is used for the Downtown Business Alliance and would continue there, so he suggested that they use Compass Bank for the District bank; all agreed.

Brief discussion was held on Item 4 of the Resolution, which was the new Intergovernmental Agreement. Chairman VanLandingham noted that the only changes dealt with adjusting the timing and deadlines, and it was triggered by a successful tax election. Mr. Eberhard noted that there were two other changes. First, the initial IGA required the District to provide insurance; this draft states that the District may be asked to provide insurance. Ms. Kjellgren noted that the second change was with regard to the indemnification provision. They had asked for it to be either modified to be equitable or remove it altogether; the City chose to remove it.

Member Stilley asked what the sanctions were if the District failed to meet any of the requirements of the IGA. Ms. Kjellgren replied that each item has a monetary value so if that item was not completed the District would be required to reimburse the City in that amount.

Ms. Goodrich said that Mr. Cafiso had previously mentioned the issue of insurance being a large topic and asked if he was comfortable with those changes. Ms. Kjellgren said that Mr. Cafiso had relayed to her that insurance was not his area of expertise and so she asked Ms. Wendel to ask the City’s Risk Manager about the issue. It was agreed that insurance would need to be obtained when the District was undertaking an infrastructure or other major construction project. Chairman VanLandingham said that the City’s Risk Manager also mentioned that the District should also ensure that any contractors hired by the District had appropriate insurance coverage.

Chairman VanLandingham said that it was his understanding that the Board members were immune from prosecution, similar to Councilmembers. He asked if the City had insurance for the Council and if so, whether they would suggest the Board members
have it as well. Ms. Kjellgren said that she would look into that issue. She noted that the effective date of the IGA will not occur until after the successful tax election.

**Member Stilley moved to adopt Resolution No. 2014-04; seconded; passed unanimously.**

5. **Consideration of Resolution No. 2014-05:** A RESOLUTION OF THE DISTRICT BOARD OF THE FLAGSTAFF DOWNTOWN BUSINESS IMPROVEMENT AND REVITALIZATION DISTRICT OF FLAGSTAFF CALLING A SPECIAL ELECTION FOR JUNE 4, 2014, FOR THE PURPOSE OF SUBMITTING TO THE PROPERTY OWNERS AND QUALIFIED ELECTORS OF THE DISTRICT A QUESTION AUTHORIZING THE DISTRICT BOARD TO LEVY AN AD VALOREM TAX AT A MAXIMUM RATE NOT TO EXCEED TWO DOLLARS AND THIRTY NINE CENTS ($2.39) PER ONE HUNDRED DOLLARS ($100) OF THE ASSESSED VALUATION FOR ALL REAL AND PERSONAL PROPERTY IN THE DISTRICT

Chairman VanLandingham noted that the $2.39 per $100 in valuation indicated in the resolution, and on the sample ballot, matched the wording of the petition that was taken to the property owners back in February.

Councilmember VanLandingham moved to amend Resolution No. 2014-05 by removing any reference to personal property; seconded; passed unanimously.

Ms. Goodrich explained that after the election they would set the property tax levy before August. She hoped to see that done at the same meeting as the canvass of the election.

It was clarified that the numbers provided by the County excluded personal property value.

**Member Stilley moved to adopt Resolution No. 2014-05 as amended, and authorize the District Clerk and Legal Counsel to make whatever amendments may be necessary to conform with state law; seconded; passed unanimously.**

6. **PUBLIC PARTICIPATION**

*Public Participation enables the public to address the Board about an item that is not on the agenda. Comments relating to items that are on the agenda will be taken at the time that the item is discussed.*

Member Stilley said that he believed there were three issues to be addressed: parking, parking, and parking, and that the solution was parking garages, parking garages, parking garages.

After a few questions from the public the District Clerk reviewed how the election process would work.
7. Adjournment

The Regular Meeting of the Board of the Directors of the Flagstaff Downtown Business Improvement and Revitalization District of May 6, 2014, adjourned at 10:46 a.m.

John VanLandingham, Chairman of the Board

ATTEST:

Elizabeth A. Burke, District Clerk
WHEREAS, in accordance with the provisions of A.R.S. Title 42, Chapter 17, Articles 1 – 5, the District Board did on May 6, 2014, make an estimate of the different amounts required to meet the public expenditures for the ensuing year, also an estimate of revenues from sources other than direct taxation, and the amount to be raised by taxation upon real property within the Flagstaff Downtown Business Improvement and Revitalization District (the “District”); and

WHEREAS, in accordance with said sections of said Title, and following due public notice, the District met on May 6, 2014 at which meeting any taxpayer was privileged to appear and be heard in favor of or against any of the proposed expenditures or tax levies; and

WHEREAS, it appears that publication has been duly made as required by law, of said estimates together with a notice that the District Board would meet on May 20, 2014, in the Staff Conference Room at Flagstaff City Hall, for the purpose of hearing taxpayers and making tax levies as set forth in said estimates;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE DISTRICT BOARD OF THE FLAGSTAFF DOWNTOWN BUSINESS IMPROVEMENT AND REVITALIZATION DISTRICT OF FLAGSTAFF, ARIZONA AS FOLLOWS:

That said estimates of revenues and expenditures shown on the accompanying schedules as now increased, reduced, or changed, are hereby adopted as the budget of the Flagstaff Downtown Business Improvement and Revitalization District for Fiscal Year 2014 – 2015.

PASSED by the District Board of the Flagstaff Downtown Business Improvement and Revitalization District this 20th day of May, 2014.

_________________________________  
Chairman

ATTEST:

_________________________________  
District Clerk
APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Shorall McGoldrick Brinkmann

_____________________________
District Attorney

SCHEDULE A
SCHEDULE B
SCHEDULE C
SCHEDULE D
SCHEDULE E
SCHEDULE F
SCHEDULE G
# Flagstaff Downtown Business Improvement and Revitalization District
## Summary Schedule of Estimated Revenues and Expenditures/Expenses
### Fiscal Year 2015

The final opportunity for public input on the Flagstaff Downtown Business Improvement and Revitalization District budget will occur on May 20, 2014 at 10:00 a.m. in the City of Flagstaff City Hall, Staff Conference Room, 211 W. Aspen Avenue, Flagstaff, AZ.

The budget may be reviewed at the City of Flagstaff in the City Clerk’s Office, 211 W. Aspen Avenue, Flagstaff AZ 86001 or at the website: http://downtownflagstaff.org

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. General Fund</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>205,500</td>
<td>257,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Special Revenue Funds</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Secondary 127,498 168,002</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>205,500</td>
<td>257,700</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Debt Service Funds Available</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Less: Amounts for Future Debt Retirement</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Total Debt Service Funds</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Capital Projects Funds</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Permanent Funds</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Enterprise Funds Available</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Less: Amounts for Future Debt Retirement</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Total Enterprise Funds</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Internal Service Funds</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. TOTAL ALL FUNDS</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>205,500</td>
<td>257,700</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Expenditure Limitation Comparison

1. Budgeted expenditures/expenses
2. Add/subtract: estimated net reconciling items
3. Budgeted expenditures/expenses adjusted for reconciling items
4. Less: estimated exclusions
5. Amount subject to the expenditure limitation
6. EEC or voter-approved alternative expenditure limitation

The city/town does not levy property taxes and does not have special assessment districts for which property taxes are levied. Therefore, Schedule B has been omitted.

* Includes Expenditure/Expense Adjustments Approved in current year from Schedule E.

** Includes actual amounts as of the date the proposed budget was prepared, adjusted for estimated activity for the remainder of the fiscal year.

*** Amounts in this column represent Fund Balance/Net Position amounts except for amounts not in spendable form (e.g., prepaids and inventories) or legally or contractually required to be maintained intact (e.g., principal of a permanent fund).
Flagstaff Downtown Business Improvement and Revitalization District  
Tax Levy and Tax Rate Information  
Fiscal Year 2015

1. Maximum allowable primary property tax levy.  
   A.R.S. §42-17051(A)  
   2014: $  
   2015: $

2. Amount received from primary property taxation in  
   the current year in excess of the sum of that year's  
   maximum allowable primary property tax levy.  
   A.R.S. §42-17102(A)(18)  
   2014: $  
   2015: $

3. Property tax levy amounts  
   A. Primary property taxes  
      2014: $  
      2015: $  
   B. Secondary property taxes  
      2014: $  
      2015: $127,498  
   C. Total property tax levy amounts  
      2014: $  
      2015: $127,498

4. Property taxes collected*  
   A. Primary property taxes  
      (1) Current year's levy  
      2014: $  
      2015: $  
      (2) Prior years' levies  
      2014: $  
      2015: $  
      (3) Total primary property taxes  
      2014: $  
      2015: $  
   B. Secondary property taxes  
      (1) Current year's levy  
      2014: $  
      2015: $  
      (2) Prior years' levies  
      2014: $  
      2015: $  
      (3) Total secondary property taxes  
      2014: $  
      2015: $  
   C. Total property taxes collected  
      2014: $  
      2015: $

5. Property tax rates  
   A. City/Town District tax rate  
      (1) Primary property tax rate  
      2014: $  
      2015: $1.8057  
      (2) Secondary property tax rate  
      2014: $  
      2015: $1.8057  
      (3) Total city/town district tax rate  
      2014: $  
      2015: $1.8057  
   B. Special assessment district tax rates  
      Secondary property tax rates - As of the date the proposed budget was prepared, the  
      city/town was operating special assessment districts for which secondary  
      property taxes are levied. For information pertaining to these special assessment districts  
      and their tax rates, please contact the city/town.

* Includes actual property taxes collected as of the date the proposed budget was prepared, plus  
estimated property tax collections for the remainder of the fiscal year.
### Flagstaff Downtown Business Improvement and Revitalization District
### Revenues Other Than Property Taxes
### Fiscal Year 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SOURCE OF REVENUES</th>
<th>ESTIMATED REVENUES 2014</th>
<th>ACTUAL REVENUES* 2014</th>
<th>ESTIMATED REVENUES 2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intergovernmental</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$ 41,002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payment in Lieu of Tax</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipal contribution</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 127,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$ 168,002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Special Revenue Funds $ $ $ 168,002

* Includes actual revenues recognized on the modified accrual or accrual basis as of the date the proposed budget was prepared, plus estimated revenues for the remainder of the fiscal year.

TOTAL ALL FUNDS $ $ $ 168,002

* Includes actual revenues recognized on the modified accrual or accrual basis as of the date the proposed budget was prepared, plus estimated revenues for the remainder of the fiscal year.
Flagstaff Downtown Business Improvement and Revitalization District  
Other Financing Sources/Uses and Interfund Transfers  
Fiscal Year 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FUND</th>
<th>OTHER FINANCING 2015</th>
<th>INTERFUND TRANSFERS 2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SOURCES</td>
<td>&lt;USES&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Special Revenue Funds</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL ALL FUNDS</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Flagstaff Downtown Business Improvement and Revitalization District
Expenditures/Expenses by Fund
Fiscal Year 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revitalization District</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$ 257,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Special Revenue Funds</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$ 257,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL ALL FUNDS</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$ 257,700</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Includes actual expenditures/expenses recognized on the modified accrual or accrual basis as of the date the proposed budget was prepared, plus estimated expenditures/expenses for the remainder of the fiscal year.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DEPARTMENT/FUND</th>
<th>ADOPTED BUDGETED EXPENDITURES/EXPENSES</th>
<th>EXPENDITURE/EXPENSE ADJUSTMENTS APPROVED</th>
<th>ACTUAL EXPENDITURES/EXPENSES</th>
<th>BUDGETED EXPENDITURES/EXPENSES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Revitalization District</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 257,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Revenue Funds</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Department Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ -</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ -</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ -</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 257,700</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downtown Revitalization</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Special Revenue Funds</td>
<td></td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL ALL FUNDS</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
WHEREAS, in accordance with the provisions of A.R.S. 48-3045, all ballots in an acreage system of voting election shall be at all times under the supervision and direction of the election judges; and

WHEREAS, the Board of the District is authorized to appoint election workers for the June 4, 2014 ad valorem tax election.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE DISTRICT BOARD OF THE FLAGSTAFF DOWNTOWN BUSINESS IMPROVEMENT AND REVITALIZATION DISTRICT OF FLAGSTAFF, ARIZONA AS FOLLOWS:

That Chairman of the Board of the District be, and hereby is, authorized to make the appointment of election workers in accordance with applicable law.

PASSED by the District Board of the Flagstaff Downtown Business Improvement and Revitalization District this 20th day of May, 2014.

_________________________________
Chairman

ATTEST:

_________________________________
District Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Shorall McGoldrick Brinkmann

_____________________________
Attorney for the District